



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/700,339

11/03/2003

Thomas A. Chodacki

57119 (72011)

5244

21874

7590

06/23/2009

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP

P.O. BOX 55874

BOSTON, MA 02205

EXAMINER

PRICE, CARL D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3749

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/23/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/700,339	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHODACKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carl D. Price	<b>Art Unit</b> 3749	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/10/2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22 and 32-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22 and 32-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with regard to claims **1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22** and **32-37**, filed on 09/29/2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's remarks are made in response to the rejection is of claims **1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22** and **32-35** which were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over U.S. Patent **3589846 (Place)** in view of **EP00385910** and U.S. Patent **5660043 (Pfefferle et al.)**, U.S. Patent **5899684 (McCoy et al.)**, **US 5206484 (Issartel)** and **US 4106889 (Katchka)**.

Applicant again traverses the rejection of the claims appears based on impermissible hindsight reconstruction of Applicants' claimed invention arguing that:

“Contrary to the Office's assertion, one of skill in the art would not operate Place in a manner that would provide "near instantaneous relight". As set out, Place is specifically designed so as to provide an automatic recycling, cooling, re-ignition process that requires extended periods of time. The proposed combination and modification of Place in view of Pfefferle would impermissibly change the principal of operation of Place and, as such, is improper. *In re Ratti*, 270 F.2d 810, 123 USPQ 349 (CCPA 1959); MPEP §2143.01. Applicants further note that nowhere does Pfefferle at all define what is meant by "near instantaneous relight", and nowhere does Pfefferle teach or suggest re-ignition within a time period of six seconds. Applicants further maintain that one of skill on the art would not have looked to an aircraft turbine design for modification of a clothes dryer system.”

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392,

Art Unit: 3749

170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In this regard, applicant's attention is directed to **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)**, which establish the knowledge and the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made. **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** discloses and teaches the use of hot surface (i.e.- electric resistance) ignition systems for gas ignition in a wide range of units (e.g.- "gas clothes dryers, gas ovens, gas fired furnaces, and boilers thus replacing and eliminating standing gas pilot lights"), for more than twenty years. **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** also discloses these strong oxidation resistant ceramic hot surface ignition elements reach ignition temperature in less than 10 to 15 seconds and utilizes about 40 watts of power. **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)** teaches that it is known to maintain the electric resistance igniter at an operational temperature that is less than the gas ignition temperature but above room temperature and so the ceramic electric resistance igniters can be re-heated so as to re-ignite the gas within a near instantaneous re-ignition time period.

Clearly, in view of the teachings of **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)**, the examiner does not rely on knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure. That is, since both **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)** acknowledge the use of electric resistance ignition systems to solve the problem of very low re-ignition times, and where **US005899684 (McCoy et al)**, in particular, acknowledges the use of ceramic electric resistance ignition elements as particularly useful to produce quick re-ignition response, since operation of the electric resistance igniter can be controlled so the electric resistance igniter is at a temperature less than the gas ignition temperature so the electric resistance igniter can be re-heated so as to re-ignite the gas within a desired re-ignition time period.

Furthermore, concerning the examiner's alleged use of hindsight and whether a person having ordinary skill in the art would readily use electric resistance ceramic ignition elements generally and more specifically the claimed "sintered ceramic ignition" (new claims 36 and 37) taught in prior art fields other than that intended for applicant's invention ("in the clothing-dryer system"), applicants' attention are directed to the newly cited prior art references of **US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** and/or **US004762982 (Ohno et al)** which separately and collectively teach sintered ceramic electric resistance ignition elements are known to be used widely as an ignition source for various combustion and heating apparatuses, can

Art Unit: 3749

quickly raise temperature, can be used for an extended period of time regardless of environmental conditions and is superior in ignition reliability and safety (e.g.- US005233166 (Maeda et al); sintered ceramic electric resistance ignition heaters (glow plugs) are known to quickly achieve preheat temperature necessary to ignite fuel vapor-air mixture “in less than 1 second” (see US004418661 (Esper)) and “for example to about 900.degree. C. in about three seconds” (see US004762982 (Ohno et al)).

In view of the teachings of **US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** and/or **US004762982 (Ohno et al)**, as well as that which is taught by **US003589846 (Place)**, **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)**, the examiner can not agree with applicants’ assertion that the recitation “near instantaneous relight” in the Pfefferle document is not a disclosure of six seconds or less as Applicants claim. When viewing evidence found in the prior art as a whole, only represented in part by the prior art discussed immediately herein above, it is clear that a person having ordinary skill in the art would understand the recitation “near instantaneous relight” (Pfefferle) as a period of time not inconsistent with igniting a fuel-air mixture “in less than **1** second” (US004418661 (Esper); and “about **three** seconds” US004762982 (Ohno et al). Further in this regard, the examiner maintains the position that “since the actual warm-up time for a given appliance control application would necessarily depend on numerous design parameters such as the type and amount of fuel burned, the size and type of resistance igniter, the overall size and shape of the burner, etc., to operate **US003589846 (Place)** such that the desired re-ignition time period is about six seconds or less can be viewed as nothing more than merely a matter of choice in design absent the showing of any new or unexpected results produced therefrom over the prior art of record. Similarly, selective use of a given fuel ignition system with any given appliance would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art and would be dictated by given installation or design concerns. Indeed, the “less than **1** second” (US004418661 (Esper)) and “about **three** seconds” (US004762982 (Ohno et al)) igniting of a fuel-air mixture present evidence that ignition time period may vary according a given installation of combustor arrangement. However, in further support the examiner’s position applicant’s attention is directed to, for example, **US005206484 (Issartel)** which acknowledges that operational conditions such as outside temperature, heating currents

Art Unit: 3749

and thermal inertia affect and indeed are used to determine the time required to preheat glow-plugs.

**US005206484 (Issartel)** discloses:

- (3) To start high-compression engines under cold conditions, one uses electrical ignition glow-plugs which must reach the operational temperature (1000.degree. C. or more) before the starter motor is switched on. Now, the time required to preheat glow-plugs may last, depending on the outside temperature, from a few seconds to several tens of seconds because the heating element of the plug has a substantial degree of thermal inertia; hence one has sought to reduce the delay as much as possible by using very large heating currents as well as automated systems for controlling this current when the desired temperature is attained, thereby avoiding premature deterioration of the plug. When a glow-plug normally operates under the foregoing conditions, it is subject to high stress and thermal shocks which threaten to prematurely end its operating life.

In view of the teachings of **US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** and/or **US004762982 (Ohno et al)**, as well as that which is taught by **US003589846 (Place)**, **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)**, one can not deny that electric resistance ignitors in general, ceramic electric resistance ignitors, and more specifically sintered ceramic electric resistance ignitors, are used widely as an ignition source for various combustion and heating apparatuses. In this regard the examiner simply can not agree with applicants' suggestion that "Clearly, the skilled worker would not have looked to an aircraft turbine for design of a clothes dryer system.", since the prior art defines the field of endeavor for sintered ceramic electric resistance ignitors to be used widely as an ignition source for various combustion and heating apparatuses. Certainly, the gas turbine electric resistance ignitor of **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)** represents and falls within the understanding of the prior art acknowledged "various combustion ... apparatuses", And, **US003589846 (Place)** being applied to burners of the type used in clothes dryers, furnaces and the like" certainly represents and falls within the understanding of prior art acknowledged "various ... heating apparatuses".

Furthermore, the teachings presented in the prior art references of **US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** and/or **US004762982 (Ohno et al)** not only address the specific limitations of the claimed invention set forth in claims **36** and **37**, but also illustrate the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention with respect to at least the known advantages, properties and characteristics of electric resistance ignitors in general, ceramic electric resistance

Art Unit: 3749

ignitors, and more specifically sintered ceramic electric resistance ignitors, with regard to the limitations of the claimed invention set forth in claims **1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22, 32-35, 38 and 39**. That is, sintered ceramic electric resistance ignition elements are known to be used widely as an ignition source for various combustion and heating apparatuses, can quickly raise temperature, can be used for an extended period of time regardless of environmental conditions and is superior in ignition reliability and safety. (12).

In support of the examiner's statement that "... selective use of a given fuel ignition system with any given appliance would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art and would be dictated by given installation or design concerns", applicants' attention is directed to **US004106889 (Katchka)** which acknowledges the use of "combustible fuel such as propane, natural gas and the like" for operating fuel fired appliances of the type using electric resistance igniters.

**US004106889 (Katchka)** discloses:

The control circuit of this invention is of particular advantage with a **glow plug ignition circuit** since it provides for discontinuous energizing of the glow plug whereby the **glow plug** is **energized only when needed**, i.e, for ignition of the pilot burner flame. **This greatly reduces the bulk and cost of the voltage supply transformer for the ignition circuit and extends the life of the glow plug element.**

The appliance burner for the circuit has a main supply conduit 160 for the supply of **combustible fuel such as propane, natural gas and the like.** The main supply line is connected through pilot valve 156 and main valve 158 to the pilot burner 18 and the main fuel burner 16, respectively. The pilot burner has ignition facilities including a sparking electrode 22 which is in circuit with an ignition circuit generally indicated as 24.

The claims remain rejected for the reasons set forth herein above and for the reasons set forth in the examiner's action appearing herein below

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Art Unit: 3749

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims: Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)**

**Claims 1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22, 32-35, 38 and 39**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **US003589846 (Place)** in view of **EP000385910B1** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)** and **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** (of record), and in view of **US005206484 (Issartel)** and **US004106889 (Katchka)** which are now cited in response to applicants' request that the examiner provide support for positions previously stated.

**US003589846 (Place)** shows and discloses gas control system that:

- controls energizing an ceramic electric resistance igniter (23) from a power source;
- a switching mechanism (42,52) connected between the electric resistance igniter and the power (L1, 12);
- the electric resistance igniter responsive to an input signal from door and timer switches (42, 49);
- wherein the control device controls operation of the electric resistance igniter (23) so as to warm-up the electric resistance igniter to a temperature at or above an ignition temperature for a gas being combusted; and
- wherein following successful ignition of the gas, operation of the electric resistance igniter is controlled so the electric resistance igniter is at a temperature less than the gas ignition temperature so the electric resistance igniter can be re-heated so as to re-ignite the gas within a desired re-ignition time period. In this regard **US003589846 (Place)** discloses (**see column 5, lines 28-34**).

“... The igniter can cause ignition when its temperature is above **1,400<sup>0</sup> F. to 1,600<sup>0</sup> F.**” (**column 4, lines 62-69**)

“If ignition occurs properly, sufficient heat is radiated by the flame and the igniter 23 to hold the switch 58 open. In the illustrate embodiment, the igniter drops to about 1000<sup>0</sup> F, when equilibrium is reached after ignition occurs. This temperature is maintained in the igniter by the presence of the flame and the low voltage applied to the igniter.”

Art Unit: 3749

**US003589846 (Place)** discloses a controlling operation of the igniter so the igniter is at a temperature less than the ignition temperature but above room temperature and within 600° C of the gas ignition temperature. The ignition of the fuel in **US003589846 (Place)** occurring at “above 1,400° F. to 1,600° F.” (760° C to 871° C) and the temperature at which igniter is maintained after ignition occurs being a temperature of “about 1000° F” (538° C).

**US003589846 (Place)** shows and discloses the invention substantially as set forth in the claims with possible exception to the control device including:

- a micro-controller and an applications program for execution in the micro-controller including instructions and criteria for outputting control signals to the switching mechanism to selectively control voltage and current being applied to the electric resistance igniter; and
- the desired re-ignition time period is about six seconds or less.

**EP000385910B1** teaches, from the same appliance control field of endeavor as **US003589846 (Place)**, using a micro-controller (M1) and an applications program for execution in the micro-controller including instructions and criteria for outputting control signals to a switching mechanism to selectively control voltage and current being applied to an electric resistance igniter.

**US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)** shows and discloses gas control system that:

- controls energizing an ceramic electric resistance igniter (30) from a power source (not shown);
- a switching mechanism (not shown) connected between the electric resistance igniter and the power;
- wherein the control device controls operation of the electric resistance igniter **(column 4, lines 15-30)** so as to warm-up the electric resistance igniter to a temperature at or above an ignition temperature for a gas being combusted; and
- wherein following successful ignition of the gas, operation of the electric resistance igniter is controlled so the electric resistance igniter is at a temperature less than the gas ignition temperature so the electric resistance igniter can be re-heated so as to re-ignite the gas within a desired re-ignition time period. **(column 4, lines 15-30)**

Art Unit: 3749

**US005899684 (McCoy et al)** teaches, from the same appliance control field of endeavor, to provide fast re-ignition period of less than 6 seconds (“if the flame is not detected in less than one second after the ignitor is de-energized”) by operating the ignitor from a half-wave voltage phase regulator during normal RUN thus being capable of operating on one half the amplitude of the applied voltage, which would necessarily produce an ignition temperature above ambient and below a fuel ignition temperature.

(5) In the third embodiment of the present invention, a first circuit is provided that applies full-wave voltage to the ignitor only during the preheat and ignition trial periods for ignition purposes. A second circuit is provided that applies half-wave voltage to the ignitor continuously, beginning with the RUN period, for fast re-ignition and to burn any fuel coming in contact with the ignitor during the RUN period and thus prevents carbon buildup on the ignitor, especially if heavy fuels, such as diesel, are used. A third circuit is provided which automatically adjusts the preheat time and the ignition on-time, depending on the applied line voltage and the current draw of the ignitor.

35) Thus it is an object of the third embodiment of the present invention to operate the said ignitor from full-wave AC voltage during STARTUP and on half-wave voltage from a half-wave voltage phase regulator during normal RUN thus being capable of operating on one half the amplitude of the applied voltage.

In regard to **claims 1-6, 16, 17, 21, 22, 32-35, 38 and 39**, for the purpose of providing a suitable means for selectively controlling, operating and monitoring the electric resistance igniter of **US003589846 (Place)**, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the controller of **US003589846 (Place)** to include a micro-controller and an applications program for execution in the micro-controller including instructions and criteria for outputting control signals to a switching mechanism to selectively control voltage and current being applied to an electric resistance igniter, in view of the teaching of **EP000385910B1**. Also, in view of the teaching of **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)**, that “continued controlled heating may be utilized to provide near instantaneous relight”, and **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** where the flame is can be detected in less than one second after the ignitor is de-energized, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to operate **US003589846 (Place)** in a manner which would permit near instantaneous relight, that is, less than six seconds. Notwithstanding the teaching of **US003589846 (Place)**, since the actual warm-up time for a given appliance control application would necessarily depend on numerous design parameters such as the type and amount of fuel burned, the size and type of resistance igniter, the overall

Art Unit: 3749

size and shape of the burner, etc., to operate **US003589846 (Place)** such that the desired re-ignition time period is about six seconds or less can be viewed as nothing more than merely a matter of choice in design absent the showing of any new or unexpected results produced therefrom over the prior art of record (see **US005206484 (Issartel)**). Regarding claims 32-34, 38 and 39, in particular, as taught by at least **US005899684 (McCoy et al)** (*“Hot surface ignition systems (HSI) have been used for more than twenty years for gas ignition in units such as gas clothes dryers, gas ovens, gas fired furnaces, and boilers thus replacing and eliminating standing gas pilot lights.”*), to operate the **US003589846 (Place)** ignition system in combination with any one of a stove, oven, clothes dryer, water, etc. (see **US005899684 (McCoy et al)**) would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art. Regarding claim 35, Official Notice is taken that it is well known to use propane as suitable a fuel for gas fueled appliances. Therefore, in view of that which is well known and for the known purpose, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to operate the combustion system of **US003589846 (Place)** with a propane fuel source.

**Claims: Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)**

Claims **36** and **37** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **US003589846 (Place)** in view of **EP000385910B1** and **US005660043 (Pfefferle et al)** and **US005899684 (McCoy et al)**, as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of **US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** or **US004762982 (Ohno et al)**.

**US003589846 (Place)** shows and discloses the invention substantially as set forth in the claims with possible exception to:

- the electric resistance ceramic igniter being a sintered ceramic igniter.

**US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** and **US004762982 (Ohno et al)** separately and collectively teach sintered ceramic electric resistance ignition elements are known to be used widely as an ignition source for various combustion and heating apparatuses, can quickly raise temperature, can be used for an extended period of time regardless of environmental conditions and is superior in ignition reliability and safety (e.g.- **US005233166 (Maeda et al)**); sintered ceramic electric resistance ignition heaters (glow plugs) are known to

Art Unit: 3749

quickly achieve preheat temperature necessary to ignite fuel vapor-air mixture “in less than 1 second” (see US004418661 (Esper)) and “for example to about 900.degree. C. in about three seconds” (see US004762982 (Ohno et al)).

**US005233166 (Maeda et al)** discloses:

(5) A sheath heater or the like with a heating resistor comprising heat-resistant insulating powder and a metal wire with a high melting point embedded in a heat-resistant metal sheath has been used as an ignition heater for various combustion apparatuses which burn gas and kerosene and has also been used as a heater for various heating apparatuses.

(6) The above-mentioned sheath heater, however, has some defects. It cannot exhibit quick temperature rising characteristics since heat is transmitted via the heat-resistant insulation powder. It is inferior in oxidation resistance and durability. In addition, it lacks reliability for positive ignition and raises problems in safety.

(7) To solve such problems, a ceramic heater comprising a heating resistor of an inorganic conductor embedded in a ceramic sintered body, which can quickly raise temperature, can be used for an extended period of time regardless of environmental conditions and is superior in ignition reliability and safety, has been used widely as an ignition source for various combustion and heating apparatuses.

**US004418661 (Esper)** discloses:

(11) Embodiment of FIGS. 3 and 4: The glow plug heater 24'--FIG. 4--is a particularly desirable construction when fast preheating is important. The glow plug body 17' has a ceramic tube 20' with a flange 18' and a bottom 21', for incorporation into a socket, for example as illustrated in FIG. 1; this portion of the structure is basically similar to that shown in FIGS. 1 and 2. The outer surface of the bottom 21' of ceramic tube 20' has a heat conductive layer 42 applied thereto in accordance with any known method, and made, for example, of a platinum/aluminum oxide layer. The purpose of the heat conductive layer is to prevent excessive temperature gradients in the densely sintered ceramic tube 20'. This is accomplished by distributing heat which, in accordance with the particular heater construction, is concentrated essentially at only a single point. The heater element 24' is so constructed that heat is generated over only a very small area thereof. The heat conductive layer distributes the heat from this point-source over a wider area of the bottom 21'. The heat conductive layer 42 may be made of various metal/ceramic compounds, but preferably contains a metal which is platinum, a platinum metal, or alloys of platinum metal.

17) Glow plugs constructed with a glow body 17' in accordance with FIGS. 3 and 4 can reach the temperature necessary to ignite fuel vapor-air mixture in less than 1 second. The requisite temperatures can be reached with glow plug bodies 17' even if the applied voltage has dropped from a nominal voltage level of 12 V to a level in the order of about 9 V in 1.5 seconds, or less; the power consumption is only half that as in known glow plugs utilizing a thin-walled metallic glow plug housing within which a resistance wire is placed, embedded in a ceramic material.

**US004762982 (Ohno et al)** discloses:

- 5) **Conventionally, high voltage V.sub.1** is applied in the initial current supplying period to abruptly heat the **ceramic glow plug** for a diesel engine, for example to about **900.degree. C.** in **about three seconds** after every starting of the engine as shown in FIG. 12. When the temperature of the glow plug reaches about 900.degree. C. (at which temperature the **sintered** body of the glow plug is not cracked and the glow plug can perform ignition), **low voltage V.sub.2 is applied to maintain the stable saturation temperature (about 1,150.degree. C.).** Then current supply is stopped. In this way, one cycle is completed to facilitate the starting of the diesel engine.
- (42) **Ceramic** of the **ceramic heaters used** for the present invention is non-oxidized ceramic such as silicon nitride (Si.sub.3 N.sub.4) or oxidized ceramic such as alumina (Al.sub.2 O.sub.3). The current supply method of the present invention can be applied to heaters made of these **numerous ceramic sintered bodies.**

In regard to claims **36** and **37**, for the purpose of providing an electric resistance ignition elements as an ignition source which can achieve operating temperature in a period less than 6 seconds, can be used for an extended period of time regardless of environmental conditions and is superior in ignition reliability and safety, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the electric resistance ignition element of **US003589846 (Place)** to be sintered ceramic igniter, in view of the teaching of **US004418661 (Esper)**, **US005233166 (Maeda et al)** or **US004762982 (Ohno et al)**.

### **Conclusion**

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### **USPTO CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl D. Price whose telephone number is (571) 272-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven B. McAllister can be reached on (571) 272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carl D. Price/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749